

REMARKS

Initially, Applicants wish to respectfully thank the Examiner for acknowledging their claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 as well as for indicating that a copy of the priority document has been received from the International Bureau.

Applicants further wish to thank the Examiner for considering the information cited in the Information Disclosure Statement filed in the present application on July 6, 2006, by the return of the signed and initialed copy of the PTO 1449 Form that was attached to the above noted Information Disclosure Statement.

In the outstanding Official Action, the Examiner objected to the drawings filed on April 11, 2006. In particular, the Examiner noted that the reference character 225, mentioned in the description, was not illustrated in the drawings.

In response, Applicants have now amended the specification at page 18, line 17, so as to refer to the exciting coil 231. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider and withdraw the objection to the drawings. Moreover, Applicants respectfully request that in the next Official Action in the present application, the Examiner explicitly indicate that the drawings in the present application have been accepted.

In the outstanding Official Action, the Examiner indicated that claims 1, 5, 12 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by SATE (U.S. Patent No. 6, 453, 144).

The Examiner additionally indicated that claims 2-4 and 6-11 are objected to for being dependent upon a rejected base claim but that these claims would be allowable if rewritten into independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Upon entry of the present amendment and without in any manner acquiescing in the propriety the Examiner's rejection of any the claims in the present application, Applicants have,

merely in order to expedite the prosecution of the present application towards allowance, rewritten claims 2-4 and 6-11 into independent form. Accordingly, at least in accordance with Examiner's indication, all the claims in the present application are now submitted to be in condition for allowance as well as in proper form for allowance. Accordingly, an action to such effect is respectfully requested, in due course.

By the present response, Applicants will have incorporated the limitations of claim 2 into claim 1 and will have canceled claim 2 accordingly. Each of claims 3, 4 and 6-11 will have been rewritten into independent form. Additionally, new claims 14-21 will have been submitted for consideration by the Examiner. Moreover, by amending the claims, Applicants have ensured that the language of the claims is clear and properly describes Applicants' invention. Additionally, in amending the claims, Applicants have ensured that they fully comply with English language idiom, grammar, and syntax.

Accordingly, at least for the reasons that the Examiner indicated allowable subject matter, Applicants respectfully submit that all of claims in the present application are clearly in condition for allowance and respectfully request an indication to such effect, in due course.


SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION


Applicants have made a sincere effort to place the present application in condition for allowance and believes that he has now done so. Applicants have rewritten the indicated to be allowable claims into independent form. Such amendment of the claims was explicitly made without in any manner acquiescing in the propriety the Examiner's rejection thereof. Applicants have amended the specification and have revised the language of the claims to ensure clarity and accuracy. Accordingly, Applicants have provided a clear evidentiary basis supporting the patentability of all the claims in the present application and respectfully request an indication to such effect, in due course.

Any amendments to the claims which have been made in this amendment, and which have not been specifically noted to overcome a rejection based upon the prior art, should be considered to have been made for a purpose unrelated to patentability, and no estoppel should be deemed to attach thereto.

Should the Examiner have any questions or comments regarding this Response, or the present application, the Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned at the below-listed telephone number.

Respectfully submitted,
Akihiro YASUDA


Bruce H. Bernstein
Reg. No. 29,027


William Pieprz
Reg. No. 33,630

November 12, 2007
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.
1950 Roland Clarke Place
Reston, VA 20191
(703) 716-1191